


#1



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 2 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, April 01, 2016 4:43:17 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, April 01, 2016 4:49:23 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:05  
**IP Address:** 185.69.145.61

---

PAGE 2

---

**Q1: About you**

Name	william perrin
Name of organisation (if applicable)	talk about local
Your email address	william@talkaboutlocal.org

---

**Q2: Confidentiality**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

**Q3: Please specify**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

PAGE 3: More about you

---

**Q4: Responding as an individual**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

**Q5: Responding for an organisation**

Third sector or campaigning institution

---

PAGE 4

---

**Q6: Question 1. To what extent, if any, should the PRP actively monitor the sector?**

a general point on complaints - you don't draw out timescale under which a regulator should consider a complaint - this may well be covered in their statement of operations as part of your approval process. but in the world of FOI there is an awkward lacuna where the ICO can't or won't act while a public body is considering an appeal/internal review, but there is no timescale set out for these. can i suggest that you draw this out so that complainants are not stuck waiting, as you suggest for the regulator to consider a complaint before you feel able to take it up.

---

PAGE 5

---

**Q7: Question 2. Do you agree with our methods for gathering information?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

**Q8: Please state your reasons.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

---

PAGE 6

---

## Consultation on undertaking cyclical and ad hoc reviews

---

<b>Q9: Question 3. Do you agree with our approach to assessing and categorising information?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q10: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q11: Question 4. Do you agree with our proposed trigger points for undertaking certain actions, including ad hoc reviews?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q12: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q13: Question 5. Do you agree with our approach to conducting cyclical reviews?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q14: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

### PAGE 7

---

<b>Q15: Question 6. Do you agree that it is helpful for regulators to self-assess and for us to publish this self-assessment as part of a call for information?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
---	---

---

<b>Q16: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q17: Question 7. Do you agree we should publish the fact that an ad hoc review is taking place?</b>	Yes
--	-----

---

<b>Q18: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

### PAGE 8

---

<b>Q19: Question 8. Do you agree with our approach to conducting ad hoc reviews?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q20: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q21: Question 9. Do you agree with our proposal to make informal recommendations following a review?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
---	---

---

<b>Q22: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

### PAGE 9

---

<b>Q23: Question 10. Do you think our proposals will have any negative impacts, including disadvantaging any specific group of people?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---

<b>Q24: Please state your reasons.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
--	---

---