

15/03/16

Telephone attendance SU/IMPRESS (Jonathan Heawood calling)

JH has a Board meeting and thought he would run a few questions that were likely to arise;

If they changed part of their application in what circumstances would we be putting out another Call for Information? Difficult to talk in the abstract but if their application, or part of it materially changed then it would be fair and reasonable to put out another call on that information.

Would we only put out that part of the application that had changed or all of it? depends on what was changed – some criteria were interlinked, also depending on when we received their response other parts of may need to be changed as they had gone stale. JH said they may as they would have more operating time by then.

Would we be asking the responses public? The process is silent on that but my preliminary view was that these would form part of the documents we would put to the Board for them to make their decision on the application so I would anticipate they would be made public at that time.

If Impress provided an intellectual argument to some of the points raised, then would we make that public (they may consider making it public). Again, difficult to provide an answer in the abstract if their application was mixed up with the comments then we may need to however ordinarily we had not anticipated that the response would be made public at this stage. It probably would be at the end of the process. It was entirely up to Impress whether they would want to make their response public.