

Dear Press Recognition Panel,

I came to UK in 1960 and one of the first things I noticed was the trust worthiness of the press, except of course during general election. There was moderation and reliability even though proprietors tried to intervene with their views. Progressively from about late 80s competitiveness increased which should have improved quality of reporting. During early millennium almost any thinking person would have noticed that sensationalism would play major role, which brought down quality of reporting as well as trustworthiness. Public lost trust for reasons we already know. This necessitated an enquiry headed by Lord Leveson.

Recommendation of his report was welcomed by general public but the proprietors and their agents of the press vehemently protested. They are scared of losing their right to report exaggerated and untrue information to enhance financial rewards. They do not want to lose their role in one sided investigation of complaints.

I am a member of the public, a supporter of the Royal Charter and have a longstanding interest in ensuring that the Leveson Report is delivered fully and effectively. I have considered the consultation and read Hacked Off's submission to the PRP consultation, a copy of which is [here](#). I am writing firstly to say that I back Hacked Off's submission, prepared with the input of victims of press abuse. I hope you will take note of this.

One issue which I am passionate about in a press regulator is arbitration, and in response to the Consultation I would like to draw your attention to my views (which I share with Hacked Off) on how the PRP should apply the Charter criteria in respect of this particular matter below.

Please help to bring back those great values of the press.

Yours faithfully

T A Krishnan.