

- Surname: **horton**
- Forename(s): **robin**
- Name of the organisation (if applicable):
- As an individual (please indicate): **Member of the public**
- For an organisation (please indicate):
-
- Question 1: Do you agree with the principle of using indicators and examples of evidence as guidance to applicants and the PRP in determining applications?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **it does not matter how you do it but you must ensure that a full leveson style of regulation is implemented by everyone. i am afraid you are powerless to make this happen which is a huge shame and waste of everyones time and money**
- Question 2: Do you agree with the indicators and evidence we propose?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish. For specific comments on the criteria, use the comments box on the matrix.: **it does not matter how you do it but you must ensure that a full leveson style of regulation is implemented by everyone. i am afraid you are powerless to make this happen which is a huge shame and waste of everyones time and money**
- Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed approach to dealing with applications?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **it does not matter how you do it but you must ensure that a full leveson style of regulation is implemented by everyone. i am afraid you are powerless to make this happen which is a huge shame and waste of everyones time and money**
- Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed approach to discussions with applicants?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **it does not matter how you do it but you must ensure that a full leveson style of regulation is implemented by everyone. i am afraid you are powerless to make this happen which is a huge shame and waste of everyones time and money**
- Question 5: Do you agree with our approach to granting recognition?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **it does not matter how you do it but you must ensure that a full leveson style of regulation is implemented by everyone. i am afraid you are powerless to make this happen which is a huge shame and waste of everyones time and money**
- Question 6: Do you consider that our proposals will have any impacts, either positive or negative, including on our compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **i very much doubt it. a commentator spoke on the radio today and quite rightly said that after leveson nothing has changed and the murdoch press continue to act with impunity. this is right. even if you consider fleet streets proposals for self regulation, it does not matte what you decide. if you reject their approach, they will continue regardless, if you certify them nothing will change as they will continue with minimal apologies and compensation and they will continue to act as THEY see fit. all that this system does is put an extra burden on the smaller publishers who will have to comply in some why. to them this will be important and could damage their business as they are small. the large fleet street giants do not care and your job is fruitless and a waste of time i am afraid.**