

- Surname: **Chadwick**
- Forename(s): **Hilary Ann**
- Name of the organisation (if applicable):
- Your email address:
- :
- As an individual (please indicate): **Member of the public**
- :
- For an organisation (please indicate):
- :
- Question 1: Do you agree with the principle of using indicators and examples of evidence as guidance to applicants and the PRP in determining applications?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 2: Do you agree with the indicators and evidence we propose?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish. For specific comments on the criteria, use the comments box on the matrix.: **I suggest all appointments to the Board, both chairman and members, should be for a fixed term of, say, not more than 2 years, possibly renewable once or twice.**
- Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed approach to dealing with applications?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **1. While 15 working days might be sufficient time to react to applications from smaller regulators, for larger regulators 15 working days is not enough time for all interested third parties to study the application fully, to consult, gather evidence and to respond adequately. 2. Substantial resources should be committed to publicising applications as widely as possible, in order to maximise the public reach of the proposed terms of reference of the new regulator.**
- Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed approach to discussions with applicants?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 5: Do you agree with our approach to granting recognition?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 6: Do you consider that our proposals will have any impacts, either positive or negative, including on our compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty?: **Yes**

Give reasons if you wish.