

I am writing in response to the Press Recognition Panel's public consultation on the state of the current press regulatory system following the Leveson Report.

I firmly believe Parliament should be reminded by the Press Recognition Panel what the Leveson Report said should happen if the industry tried to avoid implementing his recommendations or simply refused to comply. The Leveson Report says:

‘if some or all of the industry are not willing to participate in effective independent regulation, my own concluded view is to reject the notion that they should escape regulation altogether. I cannot, and will not, recommend another last chance saloon for the press. With some measure of regret, therefore, I am driven to conclude that the Government should be ready to consider the need for a statutory backstop regulator being established, to ensure, at the least, that the press are subject to regulation that would require the fullest compliance with the criminal and civil law, if not also to ensure consequences equivalent to those that would flow from an independent self-regulatory system.’

In the meantime, regardless of whether IMPRESS is recognised by the Press Recognition Panel, the Press Recognition Panel should strongly recommend to Parliament that the key ‘guaranteed access to justice incentive’ (section 40 of the Crime and Courts Act) should be brought into effect as Parliament had intended.

Yours faithfully

Richard Abram