

Telephone attendance note

17/03/15

SU speaking to Jonathan Heawood on his calling.

He had a couple of questions;

1. He didn't know whether we had additional questions in addition to the ones raised in the responses 2. He didn't know which ones issues raised we thought were relevant and which ones weren't.

I said that we had some questions on the application before we received the responses. All of the questions we had were covered by the respondents to the call. At present we don't have further questions, but we might, depending on their response.

It was difficult for us to filter what we thought was relevant to the application and what wasn't until we received their response. He said they would be responding to it all in any case.

I reminded him that our intention would be to put out another call for evidence if there was a significant change to the application. We didn't not anticipate publishing their intellectual response to submissions not directly relating to the application until our Board had concluded the application process. He said that they still might do that. I said that was a matter for them.

On timing he was hoping to respond fully on 20th or before. They were mapping all of the responses so that they wouldn't miss anything and then were doing whatever was required to deal with them (make amends or provide an intellectual response) He would let us know if anything was likely to change and was likely to affect the timescale.