

- Surname: **Phillipson**
- Forename(s): **Gavin**
- Name of the organisation (if applicable): **Durham Law School, Durham University**
- Your email address:
- :
- :
- As an individual (please indicate):
- :
- For an organisation (please indicate): **Other - please specify**
- **: I am replying to this as an individual, but would like to declare a relevant interest. I have recently been appointed as a member of the Code Committee at Impress and attended, thus far, one meeting there. However, I have not discussed my response to this consultation with Impress, nor been given any guidance or 'steer' as to what to say in it.**
- Question 1: Do you agree with the principle of using indicators and examples of evidence as guidance to applicants and the PRP in determining applications?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 2: Do you agree with the indicators and evidence we propose?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish. For specific comments on the criteria, use the comments box on the matrix.: **In general - see specific comments on the matrix.**
- Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed approach to dealing with applications?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed approach to discussions with applicants?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 5: Do you agree with our approach to granting recognition?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.:
- Question 6: Do you consider that our proposals will have any impacts, either positive or negative, including on our compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: