

- Surname: **Lillywhite**
- Forename(s): **Louis**
- Name of the organisation (if applicable):
- Your email address:
- :
- :
- As an individual (please indicate): **Member of the public, Other - please specify**
- : **Previous experience as UK Surgeon General of inaccurate and 'made up' reporting.**
- For an organisation (please indicate):
- :
- Question 1: Do you agree with the principle of using indicators and examples of evidence as guidance to applicants and the PRP in determining applications?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **Yes, but care to ensure that the examples do not themselves open up any ambiguity in how the indicators are met. Thus perhaps the examples should be in a supporting annex.**
- Question 2: Do you agree with the indicators and evidence we propose?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish. For specific comments on the criteria, use the comments box on the matrix.: **It appears comprehensive. However, I am not clear how compliance with the indicators will be monitored during the cyclical process. I add here (as cannot see the appropriate Box) that I cannot see what recourse a complainant has in the event of a disagreement with the Regulator. Clearly, someone has to make the final decision and it may be that you consider that the decision made by the Regulator is final, but you should clearly state this.**
- Question 3: Do you agree with our proposed approach to dealing with applications?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **In general agree, with one reservation (see below). Allowing third parties to provide evidence on an application will greatly assist public confidence in the system. Reservation is that I believe that the assessment that you send to the applicant (Bullet 3 of Paragraph 59 of the pdf should also be published on your website and third parties also given an opportunity to respond prior to you making the final decision.**
- Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed approach to discussions with applicants?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **But if you do so, you should at least publicize that you have done so, although the details can be confidential. And if you do so, you should also consider whether you should meet any 3rd parties (if any, and not individuals) at an appropriate time.**
- Question 5: Do you agree with our approach to granting recognition?: **Yes**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **Seems a pragmatic approach, but perhaps where there is not yet evidence of sustainable operation then a 'limited' or 'provisional' recognition should be given with a defined review point.**
- Question 6: Do you consider that our proposals will have any impacts, either positive or negative, including on our compliance with the Public Sector Equality Duty?: **No**
- Give reasons if you wish.: **Neutral.**